

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,287	03/23/2001	Jun Enomoto	. Q62092	4613
7590 07/02/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			DIEP, NHON THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2613	Z
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/815,287	ENOMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nhon T Diep	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7: 7/15/2002. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/815,287

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kinjo et al (US 6,219,129).

Kinjo et al discloses a print system comprising the same image processing method in which an original image is read photoelectrically to obtain input image data, and the thus obtained input image data is subjected to image processing to obtain output image data (fig. 1), comprising the steps of: performing first conversion for outputting an image file and second conversion for outputting a print as said image processing on the input image data obtained by a single image reading operation (a single image reading operation = no need to scan image again); and outputting first

Application/Control Number: 09/815,287

Art Unit: 2613

image data for outputting the image file and second image data for outputting the print (fig. 1, el. 14 and fig. 2, el. 12-38-54-40-64-72-68 and el. 12-38-54-42-60-62-16, col. 5, In. 36-61 and fig. 2, el. 38-54-40, 20, 68, 16) as specified in claims 1, 9 and 17-22; wherein a resolution of said single image reading operation is set in accordance with output information of the print and the image file; or wherein said single image reading operation is performed with a resolution corresponding to one of sizes of the image file and the print to be output (col. 22, In. 30-44 and col. 28, In. 28-34, since, it is considered that input image data (itself or the one with resolution lowering data) will be the same one to be output as of photometry data of the produced print of (itself or of a resolution lowering data)) as specified in claims 2-3 and 10-11; wherein at least one of said first conversion for outputting the image file and said second conversion for outputting the print includes an output color conversion, or the output color conversion and an image format conversion as said image processing (col. 5, In. 54 - col. 6, In. 5) as specified in claims 5 and 13; wherein said first conversion for outputting the image file and said second conversion for outputting the print comprise respective sharpness processing as said image processing, and wherein at least one of a method and an intensity of said sharpness processing is changed in accordance with said first conversion for outputting the image file and said second conversion for outputting the print; wherein same processing to be conducted in said first conversion for outputting the image file and said second conversion for outputting the print as said image processing is conducted in common as common processing in both of said first and second conversions (col. 8, In. 15-58) as specified in claims 7-8 and 15-16.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo et al.

As applied to claims 3 and 11 above, it is noted that Kinjo et al does not particularly disclose that the single image reading operation is performed with a resolution corresponding to a larger number of pixels required for outputting the mage file or the print in accordance with the sizes of the image file and the print to be output as specified in claims 4 and 12. However, Kinjo does suggest, prior to fine scanning, or the image reading for outputting a print P, the photoprinter 10 usually performs prescanning, or reading of the image at a different level of resolutions, in order to determine the image processing conditions and so forth. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the scanning of input video images so as to provide at lower resolution or at a resolution with larger number of pixels (or higher resolution) to match the output requirements.

5. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo et al, in view of Hobson et al (US 6,317,152).

As applied to claims 3 and 11 above, it is noted that Kinjo et al does not particularly disclose that the image format conversion selects presence or absence of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/815,287

Art Unit: 2613

an image compression, and wherein, when the image compression is performed, the image format conversion also selects presence or absence of a change of compression ratios as specified in claims 6 and 16. Although, Kinjo et al does not particularly disclose that the image format conversion selects presence or absence of an image compression, it is clear that Kinjo et al does teach about image compression and it would have been obvious that at some point, Kinjo et al must check to see if compression is performed after the input stage and may be before storage step (col. 22, In. 17-23 and col. 23, In. 22-27) and with regard to when the image compression is performed, the image format conversion also selects presence or absence of a change of compression ratios; Hobson et al teaches that recorded images are compressed so to greatly increase the storage capability of the system. Image compression ratios are not constant, but rather are determined by various system factors. A compression algorithm is selected for compressing the image as a function of image content, location of the image within a scene being recorded, and the quality, resolution, and threshold of the compressed image, etc (col. 2, In. 22-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use different compression ratios in compressing video images as taught by Hobson et al. Doing so would help to greatly increase the storage capability of the system.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,287

Art Unit: 2613

a. Matumoto (US 5,585,833) discloses a tone control method for thermal transfer type color printer.

- b. Enomoto (US 6,603,885) discloses an image processing method and apparatus.
- c. Suzuki (US 6,072,916) discloses a high speed pipeline image processing for digital photoprinter.
- c. Itoh (US 6,320,672) discloses an image reproducing apparatus and system, using the same
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T Diep whose telephone number is 703-305-4648. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on 703 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER

Il June org